PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TATENT COOLERATION TREATY				
From the				
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORIT				
To:	PCT			
OKABE Masaso				
No.602, Fuji Bldg., 2-3	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY			
Marunouchi 3-chome, Chiyoda-	EXAMINING AUTHORITY			
ku, Tokyo 100-0005	(PCT Pula 66)			
	(PCT Rule 66)			
	Date of mailing 00 00 000			
	[day/month/year] 08.03.2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	REPLY DUE within 2 months from			
CFO17897WO	the above date of mailing			
International application No. International filing da				
PCT/JP2004/001425 10.02	.2004 14.02.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classifi				
Int.Cl7 G06F3/12,G06T1/00,G09C1/00,	G09C5/00,H04L9/00,H04N1/00			
Applicant				
CANON KABUSHIKI KAISHA				
, 7				
1. The written opinion established by the International S	earching Authority: is not			
considered to be a written opinion of the International	j			
2. This 2nd (first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:				
Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
·				
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority				
to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).				
How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.				
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4				
For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.				
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.				
4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 14.06.2005				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer			
Japan Patent Office	5E 8730			
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chivoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan	SATOSHI KONDO			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/001425

Box No. 1	Basis of the opinion
which i	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in t was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
sheets w	gard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this as "originally filed."): the international application as originally filed/furnished
pa pa pa	received by this Authority on receiv
pa pa pa pa	as originally filed/furnished as originally filed/furnished as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 ages 1,2,6,8,9 received by this Authority on 10.09.2004 received by this Authority on
pa pa	ges 1-28 as originally filed/furnished ges received by this Authority on ges received by this Authority on sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. T1	the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/figs any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4.	is opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No. $PCT/JP2004 \, / \, 001425$

BOX NO	citations and explana		.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventiving such statement	e step or industrial applicability;
i. St	atement .			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-16	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-16	NO NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	YES
	Claims		NO NO	

2. Citations and explanations

Document1.EP 1197828 A1(Trustcopy Pte Ltd) 2002.04.17 & JP 2002-169681 A & US 2002/0042884 A1 & WO 02/32047 A1

Document2.JP 2002-156903 A(Hitachi Seisakusyo Ltd.)2002.05.31 (Family:none)

Document3.JP 11-154139 A(Fujitsu LTD.)1999.06.08 (Family:none)

The subject matter of claims 1-16 does not involve an inventive step over Document1 (see Paragraph[0052]-[0064]) cited in the ISR in view of new Document2(see Abstract), new Document3(see Abstract, Paragraph[0025]-[0027]).

The person skilled in the art would easily conceive the idea of applying the technical feature "the authentication server" employed in Document2, "the monitor table (2c)" employed in Document3 to the invention disclosed in Document1.